PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: 10460.204-US

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Marlene Mueller

Confirmation No: 2963

Serial No.: 10/565,514

Group Art Unit: 1657

Filed: January 23, 2006

Examiner: T M Gough

For: Saccharification Processes

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Sir:

This paper is filed in response to the Office Action mailed December 4, 2008. The Office Action states that the instant application contains more than one invention that do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because under PCT Rule 13.2 they lack the same or corresponding special technical features because "the processes are taught in the art by Viet et al. (WO 02/38787 A2)." Further, the Examiner requires an election among the following groups:

Group I, claims 20-35, drawn to an enzymatic saccharification; and Group II, claims 36-37, drawn to a process of producing ethanol.

Applicants provisionally elect Group I, claims 20-35 drawn to an enzymatic saccharification.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 5, 2009

/Jennifer L Fox, Reg. # 52218/ Jennifer L Fox, Reg. No. 52,218 Novozymes North America, Inc. 500 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1600 New York, NY 10110 (919) 494-3197